

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address C. (MMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washinston, D. C. (2023) www.uspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/838,730	04-19-2001	Tawfik R. Arabi	884.410US1	9492

7590 03 31 2003

Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402

EXAMINER
KOBERT, RUSSELL MARC

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2829

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/838,730	ARABI ET AL.	ار ر
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Russell M Kobert		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If sill	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC  136(a). In no event, however, may a rep  by within the statutory minimum of thirty (  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTA	NTH(S) FROM  ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.	
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	January 2000		
2a)	<b></b>			
3)	22/63 111	is action is non-final.		
,	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under ton of Claims	ance except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the m 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ierits is
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 31-47</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication		
4	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-42</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration		
5) 🖸 (	Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are allowed.	onoideration,		
6)[-] (	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 43-47</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
9)[] TI	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)[] Tr	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ed or b) objected to by the	Evominar	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) he held in abovance	C 27 OFD 4 65	
11) 🗌 Th	ne proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disar	orroyed by the Free :	
	ii approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	y to this Office action	pproved by the Examiner.	
12) 🗌 Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner,		
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	Oriority under 35 H.S.C. & 11	9(a) (d) or (f)	
a) 🗌	All b) Some * c) None of:	, 20 0.0.0. 3 11	3(a)-(d) or (i).	
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents I	have been received		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents t	have been received in Applie	eation No	
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority	/ documents have been see	aived in this National Co	
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a list of	au (FC) Rule 17.2(a)). the certified copies not rece	ived	
14)∐ Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional appli	cation)
a) L	The translation of the foreign language provis nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic process.	sional application beaut		sation).
Attachment(s)		, 2201 00 0,0,0, 99 1	ZU ANU/UL 121.	
) 🔲 Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	_
Patent and Tagem O-326 (Rev. 04	nark Office			
- 020 (MEV. U4	Office Action	n Summary	Don't of D	

Art Unit: 2829

- 1. Applicant's election *without traverse* of Invention I, Species 1, claims 1-9, in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged. It is noted that newly added claims 31-47 are presented in the Amendment filed on January 16, 2003. Applicants assert that the newly added claims read on the elected species. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Newly added claims 31-42 are directed to a separate Invention than that which has been elected. However, newly added claims 43-47 meet the criteria of the election noted supra. For purposes of examination, the election will be maintained as an election without traverse. In summary, Invention I, Species 1, claims 1-9 and 43-47 are elected *without traverse*.
- 2. Claims 31-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention and/or Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 3.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. A good example of such a title, although not necessarily related to this specific case, could be "Method and Apparatus for Passive Optical Characterization of Semiconductor Substrates Subjected to High Energy (MEV) Ion Implantation Using High-Injection Surface Photovoltage."
- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not contain narrative form in the range of 50 to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 2829

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5 and 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Miyagawa (5523699).
- 7. Claims 1-5 and 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Consiglio (5519327).

Consiglio anticipates a method of testing an integrated circuit (IC), the method comprising: driving a terminal on the IC to a state (col 6. In 64 – col 7, In 3); stopping the driving of the terminal (col 6, In 4-9); floating the terminal for a predetermined time ( $T_2$ ): and determining a state of the terminal after the predetermined time (col 7, In 59 – col 8. In 4); as recited in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2829

As to claim 2, determining quality of the IC based on the state of the terminal after the predetermined time (considered inherent to Consiglio; see Abstract). Moreover the limitations of claims 3-5 are considered the inherent method of use of Consiglio.

Consiglio anticipates a machine-readable medium having instructions stored thereon to cause a tester to perform a method, the method comprising: driving a terminal on the IC to a state (col 6, ln 64 – col 7, ln 3); stopping the driving of the terminal (col 6, ln 4-9); floating the terminal for a predetermined time ( $T_2$ ); and determining a state of the terminal after the predetermined time (col 7, ln 59 – col 8, ln 4); as recited in claim 43.

As to claims 44-47, the limitations are considered the inherent method of use of Consiglio.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

A method of testing comprising: charging a pin on an integrated circuit (IC) until it reaches a known state; stopping the charging of the pin; floating the pin for a predetermined time; sampling a state of the pin after the predetermined time; and determining a test result of the pin based on the state of the pin after the predetermined time, wherein the method is performed with Boundary Scan as recited in claim 6 has not been found.

Art Unit: 2829

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Hashimoto (6255842) and Ferguson et al (6342790) show various methods of

measuring current response from a device under test to determine a condition of the

device under test.

10. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three

month(s) from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response

will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (703) 308-

5222.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Russell M. Kobert Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2829

March 11, 2003

KAMAND CUNEU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5